1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
2	J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Acting Chief, Criminal Division		
4	GARTH HIRE (CABN 187330)		
5	Assistant United States Attorney		
6	1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S Oakland, California 94612-5217		
7	Telephone: (510) 637-3929 Facsimile: (510) 637-3724		
8	E-Mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	OAKLAND DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 09-00070 SBA		
14	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND ORDER		
15	v. ) CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME		
16	JEROME TOY SINCLAIR,		
17	Defendant.		
18			
19	Plaintiff, by and through its attorney of record, and defendant, by and through his		
20	attorney of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows:		
21	1. A status conference in this matter is currently scheduled for 10 a.m. on Tuesday,		
22	December 7, 2010.		
23	2. The parties request that this hearing be continued until 10 a.m. on Monday,		
24	January 31, 2011, in order to provide defendant's counsel with additional time to evaluate the		
25	evidence in this case and determine whether or not defendant should enter a change of plea or		
26	file motions and to prepare for trial in this matter.		
27			
28			

STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME

- 3. Specifically, defendant's counsel needs the continuance in order to review discovery with defendant, investigate the case, and develop a motions and/or trial strategy in light of the discovery. In addition, counsel for defendant and the government require additional time to meet and confer with respect to the production of discovery regarding the informant in this case so that defendant's counsel can determine whether or not to file a motion to compel informant-related discovery. The government is continuing to evaluate defendant's informant-related discovery requests. The parties believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny defendant's counsel and defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and defendant in a trial within the date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 4. Thus, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the time period from December 7, 2010, to January 31, 2011, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

19	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
20		MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
21		·
22	Dated: December 6, 2010	/s/
		GARTH HIRE
23		Assistant United States Attorney
24		Attorney for United States of America
25		
	Dated: December 6, 2010	/s/
26		MICHAEL HINCKLEY
27		Attorney for Defendant Jerome Toy Sinclair

STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME

## **ORDER**

## FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The currently scheduled December 7, 2010, status conference hearing is vacated. A status conference hearing is now scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on January 31, 2011, before this Court.
- 2. The time period from December 7, 2010, to January 31, 2011, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, as set forth above, taking into account due diligence.

DATED: <u>12/6/2010</u>

HONORABLE DONNA M. RYU UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE